

Three-Career Architecture Comparison Rubric



NAME _____

DATE _____

PERIOD _____

Minor 1 Rubric - 16 Points

This rubric scores only the Day 2 three-career comparison. The design portfolio, Tinkercad or paper model, and public speaking are formative and do not affect this grade.

CRITERION	4 - MASTERS	3 - MEETS	2 - APPROACHES	1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	0 - INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE
Career and source accuracy	All three careers include accurate work products, occupation labels, source, date, geography, and salary measure	All three careers are present with one minor label or work-product gap	Three careers are named, but several labels or work details need correction	Fewer than three usable careers or repeated source/measure confusion	Blank, off-topic, copied without evidence, or not submitted
Preparation boundaries	Education, experience, examination, registration, and variable-route boundaries are accurately separated where relevant	Preparation is mostly accurate with one minor boundary gap	Preparation evidence is partial or combines two different requirements	Preparation is mostly unsupported or presented as one universal route	Blank or no usable preparation evidence
Three-career salary comparison	Correctly ranks all three May 2024 U.S. medians and explains one meaningful difference without relabeling the figures as local, starting, or guaranteed pay	Correct ranking and figures with one minor explanation or label gap	Partial ranking or one unsupported salary label	Comparison uses fewer than three figures or mixes incompatible measures	Blank or no comparable salary evidence
Recommendation and limitation	Recommends one career for fictional Jordan using a labeled salary fact and preparation difference, then names a useful limitation or next question	Recommendation uses both evidence types with one minor reasoning gap	Recommendation uses only one evidence type or gives a general limitation	Preference is stated with little evidence or no meaningful limitation	Blank, off-topic, or no supported recommendation

Total: ____ / 16

Equal routes: text, speech-to-text, Canvas annotation, upload, or paper. Score evidence and reasoning, not platform access, art, or career preference.

Gradebook Conversion and Revision

RAW POINTS	GRADEBOOK PERCENT	DISTRICT BAND
16	100	Masters
15	94	Masters
14	88	Meets
13	81	Meets
12	75	Approaches
11	69	Needs Improvement
10	63	Needs Improvement
0-9	Follow campus policy	Insufficient evidence / recovery

Strongest evidence:

Priority revision: