

Automotive Evidence Brief Rubric

NAME _____

DATE _____

PERIOD _____

Student-visible 16-point rubric

CRITERION	MASTERS: 4	MEETS: 3	APPROACHES: 2	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT: 1	INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE: 0
Visible evidence and boundary	Exact visible condition; unsupported conclusion clearly rejected; authorized next evidence named and explained	Visible condition and boundary are accurate; next evidence named	Mostly accurate, but boundary or next evidence is general	Observation and conclusion are blurred or important boundary is missing	Blank, off-topic, or unsafe unsupported claim remains
Three-career comparison	All 3 medians correctly labeled/ranked; direction uses 2 relevant facts; limitation explained	All 3 medians compared with labels, 2 relevant facts, and one limitation	All 3 appear, but label, evidence connection, or limitation is incomplete	Fewer than 3 accurate careers or mixed salary measures	Blank, off-topic, or figures are invented
Training-route evaluation	Route recommendation uses 2 source facts, advantage, tradeoff, missing fact, and authorized verification source	Uses 2 facts plus tradeoff and verification need	Uses some evidence, but tradeoff or verification is weak	Preference with little accurate route evidence	Blank, off-topic, or guarantees unsupported outcome
Recommendation and next action	Career direction connects 2 facts to a priority; limitation and specific seven-day action with evidence; visible revision	Recommendation, limitation, next action, and revision are present	Direction is plausible, but connection or action evidence is general	Mostly preference; action, limit, or revision missing	Blank, off-topic, or no usable evidence

Scoring notes

- Score evidence and reasoning, not the student's career preference.
- Do not score public speaking, drawing, vehicle experience, platform access, handwriting, or English mechanics unless meaning is unclear.
- Text, speech-to-text, private media, Canvas annotation, upload, and paper are equal evidence routes.
- Recommended conversion only after local approval: 15-16 Masters; 13-14 Meets; 12 Approaches; 10-11 Needs Improvement; below 10 follows campus policy.

Self-score and revise

CRITERION	MY LEVEL, 0-4	EVIDENCE I CAN POINT TO	REVISION NEEDED
Visible evidence and boundary			
Three-career comparison			
Training-route evaluation			
Recommendation and next action			

Weakest criterion: **[criterion name]**

Revision I made before submitting:

One accurate label I protected:

One unsupported claim I removed: