

Legal Review Argument and Evidence Sheet

NAME _____ DATE _____ PERIOD _____

Hypothetical Policy for Review

A court may use an AI tool to recommend bail amounts and flag which cases a judge should review first. A judge may follow the recommendation or set it aside. The tool's scoring method is not released to the public.

This is a classroom scenario. Do not connect the discussion to a real person, case, or family.

Evidence Bank

EVIDENCE THAT MAY SUPPORT APPROVAL	EVIDENCE THAT MAY SUPPORT OPPOSITION OR REVISION
The tool could sort information consistently and bring urgent files to a judge's attention.	The public cannot inspect the scoring method, so an error may be hard to identify or challenge.
A judge keeps final authority and may reject the recommendation.	A person may give too much weight to a computer recommendation even when a judge has final authority.
A tool could help staff organize a large number of cases.	A recommendation may repeat patterns found in the information used to build the tool.

1. Prepare Your Assigned Side

Circle one: **support approval** · **oppose or require major revision**

Opening statement:

Evidence 1 and why it matters:

Evidence 2 and why it matters:

Evidence 3 or a response to the other side:

Closing recommendation:

2. Judge the Policy

After hearing both sides, circle one: **approve** · **revise** · **reject**

The strongest evidence was _____ because

One safeguard or change I would require is

3. Personal Position

My personal decision may differ from my assigned side. In 5-7 sentences, state a position, use at least two details from the evidence bank or discussion, name one affected legal career, and explain one safeguard or concern.